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Endermologie® was originally developed by Louis Paul Guitay in the 1980’s for his personal use to aid massage in the physiotherapy of scar contracture. Introduced into widespread use in France in 1986 and in the US in 1996, their use was initially met with some skepticism due to a lack of supportive clinical trials by practicing physicians. Daver and Cumin in France reported early success with the use of the device in 1991 and 1996 respectively before its use in the US after 1996 by Ersek, Fodor and others, including myself. In the US, the original emphasis was on the reduction of fat by its effects on fat metabolism in addition to the reduction of cellulite seen by clinicians. At that time, other proposed but unsubstantiated mechanisms included increased lymphatic and blood flow. After a series of research verifying these claims initial skepticism gave way to general acceptance by a significant portion of plastic surgeons in the US. Over 11,000 machines are in use worldwide and 1000 machines in the US since FDA clearance for marketing in April 1998. This is probably a greater number than made by all other companies combined. There are very few studies in the literature on the use of other machines.

Benefits have been stated as an alternative to liposuction, a pre- and post-operative adjunctive therapy to lipoplasty and as an interoperative aid to dispersing the tumescent wetting solution. In 1997 my clinic completed two clinical trials with blinded observers of unilateral treatment of 10 patients each on one side of the body from the axilla to the calf with the new, untested Luxar-ESC Silhouette device for 2 treatments a week for 8 weeks. We were able to determine by examination which side served as a control and which side had been treated in 10/10 patients in both trials and measurements confirmed a reduction in circumference of the treated extremity compared to the control. Later that year we obtained an LPG ES1 device that has two active, rolling heads, bi-directional movement, a feedback pressure control mechanism and a number of other technological improvements over the ESC device. We now have almost 4 years experience in hundreds of patients. We have employed four technicians in the clinic and one slightly preferred using the Silhouette device while three strongly preferred the Endermologie device and two refused to use the Silhouette device.

Over 2 years ago we discontinued using the Silhouette device. Only a few patients preferred its firmer, single-roller, treatment mechanism that lacks a feedback pressure sensor, but many experienced pain and several developed significant bruising after treatments. Early post-operative treatment was not possible and the lack of a small treatment head eliminated facial therapy. If one machine was presented as the “best” treatment there was general acceptance by the patient. However, in side by side comparisons of Endermologie and Silhouette devices without prejudicial comments, over 75% of the patients choose the LPG technique for their therapy.

In the first 100 patients treated with Endermologie who did not present for body contouring by liposuction 50 were highly satisfied, 30 were somewhat satisfied and 20 were dissatisfied. Five to ten percent of these patients may choose to undergo liposuction after the treatment, even if they
are satisfied. All patients are highly motivated initially and experience increased redness and a
sense of relaxation after treatment and most report increased thirst. About 20% of the patients
see results within 2-3 treatments, usually due to early edema; about ½ see results by 10-12
treatments and the rest later in the course of 2 weekly treatments for 8 weeks. Patients who do not
experience results within 5-7 treatments (~30%) become impatient and are given extra education
about the phenomenon of late response.

We offer post-operative treatment to all of our liposuction patients and about 30% enter a series
two weekly treatments for 4-6 weeks starting two weeks post-operatively. Treatments are
begun at level 1-2 in the surgical sites and as tolerated in other areas. It is our subjective
assessment that the irregularities of edema, induration and contours are improved by the
treatments, but no blinded, unilateral trials have been conducted to date in the post-operative
setting. Almost 100% of these patients consider the treatment beneficial. We offer pre-operative
treatment to all patients, but few choose to wait for eight weeks of therapy before undergoing
liposuction. The few that do, are either not suitable candidates for immediate liposuction due to an
overweight condition (generally >25 lbs), or prefer to try something less drastic to avoid surgery
altogether. We cannot find a clear advantage to pre-operative therapy, however, we have no
blinded clinical trials to report.

In our experience the Endermologie technique is highly operator dependent and successful in over
80% of patients as an alternative to and after liposuction. Excellent technique and psychological
motivation by the therapist improves the results and active participation and cooperation by the
patient is essential, much like in dieting and exercise.

References
  #91:Sept. 1996.
8. Kinney, B. M. Discussion of “A Comparative Trial of Aminophylline Cream and Endermologie,” Collis, N., Elliot, L.,
9. Adcock, D., MD, Paulsen, S. MD, Davis, S., MD, Nanney, L. PhD, Shack, R. B., MD Continuing Medical
11. W atson, J. MD, Fodor P. B., MD, Cutliffe, B., BA, Sayah, D., MD, Shaw, W. MD Physiological Effects of
    1999.
14. Dabb, R. W., MD A Combined Program Program of Nutrition Endermologie and Small-volume Liposuction : A Logical